

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,074	05/24/2001	Stephen Paul Zimmerman	8094M	6704
27752	7590 03/04/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			EXAM	INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
6110 CENTE	R HILL AVENUE I. OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/865,074

Applicant(s)

Zimmerman et al.

Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply  ODTENIED STATISTORY REPLODEOR DEPLY IS SET	TO EVEIDE 2 MONTH/S) EDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the I	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
- Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t			
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec. 26</u> , 2	2002		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
· · ·	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-17	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) <u>18-20</u>	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processing the second sec	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure</li> </ol>	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) L	The translation of the foreign language provisional			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		4) The sing Common (DTO 412) Person No.		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	omation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		
~, <del> </del> <del> </del> <del> </del>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

Application/Control Number: 09/865074

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. The 103 rejection of claims 1-10 over the Holm et al (4994295) reference is hereby withdrawn.
- 2. Upon further consideration, the indication of allowability of claim 11 is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11, 14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willard (4623548).

Willard discloses snack products made from corn and other cereal flours. The snack products are made from a dough comprising 15-80% low water absorption component, 3-40% high water absorption component and a moisture content of 40-50%. The low water absorption component can be partially gelatinized cereal flours such as masa flour and whole corn flour subjected to heat treatment to partially gelatinized the starch. The high water absorption component can be pregelatinized starch. (See columns 2-5)

Willard does not disclose the glass transition temperature of the snack chip.

The glass transition temperature of the chip is inherent in the Willard product because the snack is made from a dough having the same components as claimed. The claimed dough requires 25-40% of a precooked starch-based material and 2.5-4% of a pregelatinzed starch; 50% of 50-80% is 25-40% and .5% of 50-80% is 2.5-4%. These ranges fall within the ranges disclosed by

Application/Control Number: 09/865074 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

Willard. While Willard does not disclose the percent of gelatinization of the pregelatinized starch, the degree of gelatinization of the pregelatinized starch can be from above 0-100% and by not disclosing the percent of gelatinization, the pregelatinized starch encompasses this range and the claims include the range of 50-100%. Since the snack is made from a dough containing the same components as claimed, the glass transition temperature is inherent because the specification does not disclose how the dough is processed or manipulated to arrive at the claimed glass transition temperature. The glass transition temperature is the result of the starch found in the dough and since the Willard dough comprises the same starch, the temperature claimed is inherent in the Willard product.

5. Claims 1-10,12-13,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willard (4623548) in view of Holm et al. (4994295).

Willard discloses snack products made from corn and other cereal flours. The snack products are made from a dough comprising 15-80% low water absorption component, 3-40% high water absorption component and a moisture content of 40-50%. The low water absorption component can be partially gelatinized cereal flours such as masa flour and whole corn flour subjected to heat treatment to partially gelatinized the starch. The high water absorption component can be pregelatinized starch. (See columns 2-5)

Willard does not disclose the surface features in the percentage and having the dimensions claimed in claims 2,6-10, the thickness and coefficient of variation of thickness as in claims 3-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/865074

Art Unit: 1761

Holm et al disclose snack products having a predetermined level of surface bubbling. The snack preferably has a combination of surface features as shown in figures 2-3 (see column 12, lines 1-20). The process can be adjusted to produce products ranging from those having very little bubbling to products which are totally pillowed. By adjusting the initial dough moisture, the thickness of the dough sheet and the drying environment, a chip product having any desired bubble size distribution can be created (see col. 11 lines 46-55). The snack has a thickness in the range of about .5mm to about 1.5mm (see col. 6 lines 9-10).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the teaching of Holm et al to adjust parameters such as initial dough moisture, thickness of the dough sheet and the drying environment to obtain a product having a bubbling and blistering surface to enhance the textural quality of the product. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to vary the parameters as set forth by Holm et al on column 11 lines 46-55 to obtain any distribution of bubbling and the size of the bubbles depending on the appearance and the texture desired. Holm et al disclose products with different sizes of bubbles are preferred. Since both the Holm et al and Willard products are in the field of fabricated snack products, the teaching of Holm et al is equally applicable to the Willard product. Applicant has not shown anything unexpected in the percent of surface features and dimensions claimed. As to the thickness and the coefficient of variation of the chip thickness, it would have been obvious to make the snack thicker or thinner depending on the texture desired; for instance, if a crunchier taste is desired, it would have been obvious to make the snack thinner or if a less crunchy taste is desired, it would have been obvious to make

Application/Control Number: 09/865074

Art Unit: 1761

....

the snack thicker. It would also have been obvious to vary thickness depending on the size and distribution of bubbles as taught by Holm et al. If the thickness varies, then the coefficient of variation of the thickness will also vary. The bubble provides interior void and as stated above, it would have been obvious to vary the size of the bubble which consequently affects the size of the interior void. The distribution of the bubbles will also affect the volume occupied by solids and it would have been obvious to vary the distribution of the bubbles depending on the appearance and texture desired. The glass transition temperature of the chip is an expected property in the Willard product because the snack is made from a dough having the same components as claimed. The claimed dough requires 25-40% of a precooked starch-based material and 2.5-4% of a pregelatinzed starch; 50% of 50-80% is 25-40% and .5% of 50-80% is 2.5-4%. These ranges fall within the ranges disclosed by Willard. While Willard does not disclose the percent of gelatinization of the pregelatinized starch, the degree of gelatinization of the pregelatinized starch can be from above 0-100% and by not disclosing the percent of gelatinization, the pregelatinized starch encompasses this range and the claims include the range of 50-100%. Since the snack is made from a dough containing the same components as claimed, the glass transition temperature is an expected property in the Willard product because the specification does not disclose how the dough is processed or manipulated to arrive at the claimed glass transition temperature. The glass transition temperature is the result of the starch found in the dough and since the Willard dough comprises the same starch, the same temperature is expected in the Willard product.

Application/Control Number: 09/865074 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 18-20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 18-20 are free of prior art because there is no disclosure of the viscosity and water absorption index of the pregelatinized starch and there is no suggestion or motivation to arrive at the claimed viscosity and water absorption index.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

March 1, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER